1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 Northern District of California 5 6 7 KRISTA O'DONOVAN, EDUARDO DE LA TORRE and LORI SAYSOURIVONG, 8 individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 9 Plaintiffs, 10 v. 11 CASHCALL, INC., a California corporation, 12 Defendant. 13 14 On July 19, 2013, Plaintiffs moved for an Order modifying the current Case Management 15 Order and report schedule to exclude expert opinions on the dollar amounts of class-wide restitution, and that the Court set a schedule for disclosure of such opinions and related expert discovery after 16 17 ruling on summary judgment motions. Dkt. No. 145. Having reviewed Plaintiffs' Motion and 18 Defendant's Opposition thereto (Dkt. No. 151), the Court finds that good cause does not exist to 19 modify the case management deadlines. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Motion is DENIED. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. 21 22 Dated: August 2, 2013 23 Maria-Elena James 24 United States Magistrate Judge 25 26 27 28

No. C08-3174 MEJ ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO EXTEND CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES